SCT Board of Directors Board Meeting January 24, 2024 3pm

SCT Board Room

400 Aviation Blvd, Suite 500. Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Meeting Minutes

Members: Joe Bartolomei, Jennifer Buffo, Thera Buttaro, Margaret Grahame, Keo Hornbostel, Monica Hubert, Kirstyne Lange, Kirk

Lok, Crista Luedtke, Brian Marchi, Brandon Mrkvicka, Tony Pace, Bert Rangel, Edward Roe, Caroline Shaw, Tim Zahner,

Scott Alonso, Brian Sommer,

Invitees: Ethan Brown, McCall Miller, Kristen Madsen, Mary Roulades, Bill Arnone, Claudia Vecchio, Todd O'Leary, Lori Angstadt, Kelly

Bass Seibel, Jonny Westom, Conrad Braganza, Jessica Quigley (recording secretary), Tracy Koch, Mary Roulades, Anthony

Davis, Michael Ferguson, John Berean, Jason Lee, Tom Hazinski, Scott Beck, Dave Bratton,

Public: None

Absent: Brandon Mrkvicka, Brian Marchi, Caroline Shaw, Crista Luedtke, Scott Alonso, Margaret Grahame

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
Meeting Call to Order	Meeting commenced at 3:00pm	N/A	N/A	N/A
Roll Call	Roll call taken for all members	N/A	N/A	N/A
Public Comment	NA	N/A	N/A	N/A
Statement of Abstentions	None	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approval of Consent Items.	Motion: K. Lange S: J. Buffo to approve the consent items- Approval of Agenda: January 24, 2024 Approval of BOD Minutes: November 15, 2023 Acceptance of Committee Minutes: Governance November 7, 2023 Finance & Legal Committee November 13, 2023 With the addition of Item 8b – September & October Financials Ayes –10 Nays – 0, Abstentions – 0			
	Ayes –10 Nays – 0, Abstentions – 0 K. Lok had not yet joined the meeting. B. Sommers not yet			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	sworn in to vote.			
Legal Report	B. Arnone reports that since the last meeting in Nov he has worked on the RFP for HR Services, Visitor Center Infrastructure Grants process, Non Disclosure agreement for the Convention Center Advisory Council, a legal statement to financial auditors Pisenti & Brinker regarding legal disclosures (no disclosures necessary), a meeting with the county regarding changing by laws (by laws are not being changed) and clarification on the board matrix.			
	B. Arnone recites the Oath of Office for Brian Sommer and B. Sommer is sworn into Sonoma County Tourism's Board of Directors.			
Presentations:	Non-Visitor Study- Future Partners & C. Braganza present on the Non-Visitor Study:			
	2023 Sonoma County Non-Visitor Study: Talking Points In 2022, Sonoma County exceeded pre-pandemic visitor spending estimates, and was one of only 20 counties in California to achieve this level of a robust recovery. However, in 2023, Sonoma County visitation dipped, and demand leveled off even during the high season. To understand this downturn in			
	visitation, Sonoma County Tourism launched a non-visitor study to gain insights about the perceptions and preferences of domestic travelers. The survey was fielded by Future Partners, Inc., and included a diverse sample of travelers who took at least one overnight trip but did not visit Sonoma County in 2023. The sample base included 400 California-based travelers			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	and 400 travelers from Western States1 with an			
	annual household income of more than \$100K who are			
	open to wine tasting and restaurant dining,			
	exploring scenic beauty, and arts and culture travel			
	experiences.			
	To gain added insight and clarity about the factors			
	impacting visitation, five key assumptions were			
	analyzed in the study:			
	Financial concerns of travelers			
	2. Perception of San Francisco's media narrative			
	3. Rise of outbound international travel			
	4. Effect of Sonoma County Tourism messaging and			
	advertising			
	5. Changes in the winery experience			
	Several enlightening pieces of data from the study show			
	that the decision to travel continues to be			
	impacted by various external factors:			
	Interest in other domestic destinations			
	Four in ten travelers say that interest in other domestic			
	destinations is the most important factor			
	impacting their travel choices.			
	o 61% of all travelers and 66% of western travelers also			
	agreed with this statement—			
	which is reflective of the sample base as they are travelers			
	who did not visit Sonoma			
	County in 2023.			
	Interest in Sonoma County vs. likelihood to visit over next 2 years for leisure			
	• 67% of California travelers are interested in visiting			
	Sonoma County; however, among western			
	travelers, this decreases to 58%.			
	• 59% of Californians are most likely to visit Sonoma			
	County compared with a 38% likelihood			
	among western travelers.			
	• In terms of visitation frequency, 36% of all travelers			
	surveyed indicated they have never visited			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	Sonoma County; this increases to 47% among travelers			
	from western states. The study found			
	that the "been there, done that" effect is minimal leaving a			
	sizeable portion of the surveyed			
	audience interested in visiting Sonoma County.			
	Awareness/familiarity			
	More than one quarter (29%) of all travelers say that			
	Sonoma County is just not on their radar.			
	47% of Californians and 58% of western U.S. travelers			
	agreed with this statement, respectively.			
	• Lack of familiarity about the destination (things to see and			
	do) is prominent among western U.S.			
	travelers (44% western travelers vs. 36% California			
	travelers agree with this statement).			
	1 Western U.S. states sampled: Washington, Oregon,			
	Idaho, Nevada, Arizona, New Mexico, Utah, Colorado,			
	Wyoming, Montana.			
	San Francisco perception			
	More than one quarter (28%) of all travelers say the			
	spillover effect of San Francisco's negative			
	media narrative is a deterrent to visiting Sonoma County.			
	42% of all survey participants agree that San Francisco's			
	woes have made visiting Sonoma			
	County less attractive. This opinion is especially prominent			
	among those who reside outside			
	California with 49% of western U.S. travelers agreeing with			
	this statement.			
	Interest in international destinations			
	One quarter of all travelers say choosing an international			
	destination over Sonoma County is the			
	most important factor influencing their travel choices. 47%			
	also agreed with this statement.			
	Western U.S. travelers are more likely to travel			
	domestically (4.7 trips – Western U.S. travelers vs.			
	3.8 trips – California travelers), while California travelers			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	are slightly more likely to travel abroad.			
	A smaller proportion of travelers, 27% of California			
	travelers and 22% of Western U.S. travelers			
	agree that they strictly prioritized international travel over			
	domestic travel in 2023.			
	Among those who prioritized international travel, their top			
	three reasons for doing so were to			
	explore new cultures; fewer restrictions; better value for			
	money than domestic travel.			
	Although international destinations do have a significant allure that offerte appoideration of			
	allure that affects consideration of			
	Sonoma County, international travel did not replace domestic vacations in 2023.			
	o 61% of all travelers say they took the same number of			
	trips abroad as they did in 2022.			
	Only 21% say they took more trips abroad in 2023. In fact,			
	western U.S. travelers are			
	likelier to say their international trip volume remains			
	unchanged year-over-year.			
	Domestic travel and competition			
	• A greater share of travelers (42%) said they took more			
	domestic trips in 2023 compared to 2022;			
	only 19% took fewer trips than the year prior.			
	• In terms of other California destinations visited for leisure			
	over the last 2 years, Lake Tahoe and			
	Santa Barbara are Sonoma County's biggest in-state			
	competitors followed by Napa, Monterey,			
	Paso Robles/San Luis Obispo.			
	Travelers are most likely to choose Lake Tahoe and			
	Santa Barbara, followed by Napa and			
	Monterey over the coming year. Sonoma County outpaces			
	Paso Robles for choice of destination			
	among western U.S. travelers while Monterey outpaces			
	Napa among California-based travelers.			
	Financial concerns of travelers			
	A smaller but sizeable percentage of all travelers (35%)			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	say financial concerns and high cost of			
	travel are the most important deterrents to visiting Sonoma			
	County. This concern rises among			
	western travelers, with 46% of them citing high cost of			
	travel as a reason for fewer leisure trips.			
	The economic climate in 2023 also impacted trip volume			
	and spending; all travelers said they			
	reduced spending in restaurants, on retail, took budget-			
	friendly and fewer trips (~30% each).			
	• One in three travelers say the high cost of visiting			
	Sonoma County has kept them from visiting			
	Sonoma County with 56% citing high lodging costs as the			
	top barrier followed distantly by high			
	gas prices (37%) and restaurant costs (31%).			
	Despite cost concerns, travelers said Sonoma County			
	continues to offer good value for money.			
	Winery experience			
	All travelers ranked Sonoma County's brand as strong and a highly desired wine country.			
	and a highly desired wine country destination, second only to Napa across several other U.S.			
	wine destinations.			
	o However, a decline in quality of winery experience is			
	impacting visitation. 36% of			
	California travelers and 42% of western U.S. travelers			
	agree that winery experiences			
	have become less attractive (increased costs).			
	o Semi-frequent (once a year) and infrequent (once every			
	few years) winery visits were			
	most common.			
	3			
	Respondents are unlikely to say other wine regions are			
	more appealing than Sonoma County.			
	• Enjoying scenic beauty, wine with local food pairings, and			
	sampling diverse wines were the top			
	preferred winery experiences. Western U.S. travelers place			
	more emphasis on intimate vineyard			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	tours with knowledgeable guides.			
	Over a third of past winery visitors (35%) said they made			
	a reservation on their last winery visit,			
	and among those who made reservations, 75% said the			
	process of doing so was easy.			
	Effect of Sonoma County Tourism messaging and			
	advertising			
	• 28% of all traveler's recall seeing a travel advertisement			
	for Sonoma County in 2023 (unaided).			
	• Less than one in ten (8%) of travelers were turned off by			
	Sonoma County ads they recall seeing.			
	• In fact, over a third of travelers felt Sonoma County ads			
	aligned and resonated with their travel			
	preferences—a sentiment stronger among California			
	travelers.			
	Sonoma County ads rank well in terms of inspirational			
	power, with 54% of all travelers agreeing			
	the ads did inspire them to visit Sonoma County. Destination attributes			
	Wineries, favorable weather, and outdoor recreation were			
	the top three rated destination			
	attributes followed by special events/festivals, unique			
	tours/experiences, inclusive/welcoming			
	atmosphere, local cuisine, and small town feel and			
	neighborhood vibe.			
	o Western travelers ranked favorable weather and unique			
	tours/experiences higher			
	compared to California-based travelers.			
	A reported 56% of all surveyed travelers actively seek out			
	travel destinations that promote			
	responsible tourism practices—rising to 62% among			
	southern California based travelers.			
	Impact of access, natural disasters			
	Accessing Sonoma County has lower overall impact; only			
	24% of travelers said Sonoma County is			
	challenging to get to.			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	Natural disasters have also had a limited impact on			
	visitation; only 11% of all travelers agreed			
	that concerns about natural disasters have made visiting			
	the County less attractive.			
	Broader implications and conclusions			
	The non-visitor study findings are indicative of traveler			
	perceptions among those who have not			
	visited Sonoma County, and as such, low awareness of the			
	destination is expected, among a			
	range of other external factors at play in a competitive and			
	evolving travel environment.			
	Among travelers who do visit Sonoma County, the visitor			
	profile base remains a loyal one; 72% of			
	overnight travelers are repeat visitors and 52% of overnight			
	travelers have visited Sonoma			
	County in the year prior (Source: Longwoods Travel USA			
	visitor profile study, 2022).			
	Year-over-year analysis also shows that travelers have			
	increased their affinity for using hotels,			
	short-term rental websites, and the destination website for			
	booking and trip planning—			
	demonstrating greater awareness and familiarity of these resources among regular visitors.			
	In addition to external factors impacting visitation, there			
	have been significant local shifts in how			
	area lodging responded to the losses experienced during			
	the pandemic and the rising costs of			
	goods and services. Average Daily Rate (ADR) of hotels			
	and short-term rentals grew by 11% and			
	12%, respectively in 2022, and RevPAR increased by 9%			
	(hotels) and 12% (short-term rentals).			
	• In 2023 however, hotels and short-term rentals reduced			
	ADR by 6% and 5%, respectively, and			
	RevPAR decreased by 11% (hotels) and 8% (short-term			
	rentals) over 2022 levels, resulting in a			
	marked decline in overall revenue.			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	Over the last five years, there has also been a 15% increase in supply (available rooms). Reduced demand (room nights) and increased supply, in addition to lowering rates to fill more available rooms does affect the overall revenue and profitability of the lodging segment, and its impact cannot be underestimated.			
	Scott Beck- CEO, San Francisco Travel Association gives presentation on his first 30, 60 & 90 day plans at new CEO.			
	HVS Feasibility Study- Phase 1 Anthony Davis from HVS presents findings from Phase 1 of the Convention Center Feasibility Study			
	As identified in the Destination Stewardship and Resiliency Master Plan, Sonoma County Tourism is working toward identifying the potential for developing a convention center in the County. SCT contracted with HVS, a global leader in the assessment and development of properties for the hospitality industry, provide the results of the first of a two-phase feasibility study. Sonoma County			
	Tourism is working with the HVS Convention, Sports & Entertainment and HVS Consulting & Valuation Groups on this two-phase study. The results of the first phase were provided to the Convention Center Advisory Council at the Dec. 19 meeting.			
	HVS provided insights into five key areas: 1. Market Assessment – Analyzes the economic and demographic data that provides an overview of the local economy in Sonoma County. 2. Meeting Planner Survey Results – HVS surveyed			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	meeting planners to determine whether			
	Sonoma County is of interest to them.			
	3. Building Program Recommendations - The building			
	program recommendations describe the			
	floor areas of various types of function spaces as well as			
	other important amenities for the			
	proposed SCCC.			
	4. Comparable and Competitive Venues – HVS analyzed			
	comparable venues to provide a basis for			
	developing program recommendations and forecasts of			
	event demand.			
	5. Hotel Supply and Demand – HVS based the supply and			
	demand metrics on numbers derived			
	from the Smith Travel Report (STR).			
	6. Convention Center Demand Projections – HVS based			
	event demand projections at the Sonoma			
	County Convention Center ("SCCC") on the following			
	research and analysis:			
	The program recommendations presented previously, Industry data and transports.			
	Industry data and trends reports, Key market and accompanie indicators			
	Key market and economic indicators, Comparable varies program and demand data, and			
	 Comparable venue program and demand data, and A survey of event planners that have previously contacted 			
	Sonoma County Tourism			
	about hosting events.			
	Site Analysis - Working with Sonoma County Tourism, HVS			
	identified more than ten sites that could			
	potentially accommodate the proposed SCCC. HVS			
	prioritized proximity to the 101 freeway, which			
	connects Sonoma County to the Bay Area in the south. The			
	largest cities in Sonoma are along the 101			
	and attendees and suppliers prefer ease of access from the			
	freeway to reach their events.			
	• Round Barn			
	Santa Rosa Mall			
	Shiloh Lot			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	 Sonoma County Fairgrounds State Farm Lot Airport Site Sonoma-Marin Fairgrounds (Petaluma) HVS toured these potential sites and narrowed the list down to seven potential sites. HVS then rated each potential site on 27 evaluation criteria. The rating of each site combined with the relative importance of each criteria results in a weighted score for each potential site. This process identified the three highest scoring sites which are the most suitable sites for the proposed event venues. Santa Rosa Mall (Santa Rosa) State Farm Site (Rohnert Park) Round Barn (Santa Rosa) Sonoma County Tourism will now connect with the municipalities and entities identified as top contender sites to determine viability. Phase two will begin in January 2024. This will determine more detail around the center design and functionality. 			
Committee Items Requiring Board ACTION:	Finance & Legal: FY23-24 Reforecast. L. Angstadt presents the FY23-24 Budget Reforecast (see minutes from Finance and Legal Committee) as presented to Finance and Legal Committee. Motion: J. Bartolomei, S: T. Buttaro to approve the FY23-24 Budget Reforecast as accepted by the Finance & Legal Committee. Ayes-12 Nays-0 Abstain-0 K. Lok has joined the meeting and B. Sommers is sworn in			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	and can vote now			
	Governance Committee:			
	Motion: J Bartolomei, S: J. Buffo to approve Kirstyne Lange to join the Governance Committee			
	Ayes-12 Nays-0 Abstain-0			
	Community Engagement Committee: Visitor Center Infrastructure grants. T. Buttaro and K. Bass Seibel present- Sonoma County Tourism has agreed to fund \$500,000 in operational grant funds for County visitor centers with an additional \$100,000 set aside for infrastructure funds. The operational funds were awarded in June 2023 for this fiscal year. A visitor center study was conducted with the thought that needs from that study would help inform the committee on what is needed most for infrastructure funding. In addition to grant funding support, SCT hired Tanya Rainey as the Community Development Manager to work directly with the visitor centers as a staff liaison, bringing support and best practices to the County visitor centers.			
	Through this informed process, it was clear that several visitor centers had needs in the areas of technology and/or signage and wayfinding. SCT also wanted to make sure that the visitor centers could apply for funding for other infrastructure needs that didn't fall into those 2 categories.			
	Visitor Centers were invited to apply for funding of up to \$10,000 in one, two or all three categories. SCT also invited			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	visitor centers to apply even if they weren't currently			
	receiving an operational grant.			
	SCT received 13 applications, including two applications			
	from centers who are not current grantees. To receive			
	funds those grantees had to agree to abide with the same			
	scope of services that all current grantees have agreed to.			
	Kristen Madsen consulted on the grantmaking process and			
	is here today in her capacity as a consultant, and will not			
	be weighing in or voting as a committee member.			
	Staff has gone through all grant applications to ensure that			
	the items requested meet the scope of the grant.			
	Additionally, committee members have rated the			
	applications in the areas of need, impact, capacity and clarity.			
	,			
	SCT Staff T. Rainey and K. Bass Seibel review grant criteria,			
	areas that are not covered but would fall under operations			
	funding and items that were ineligible. Robust discussion			
	on SCT assisting with bulk purchasing and the priority to			
	get improvements to our visitor centers.			
	Committee Recommendations for funding:			
	Cloverdale Visitors Center: \$4,000			
	Cioverdale visitors Center. 94,000			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	Technology: \$4,000			
	Fort Ross Visitors Center: \$7,185			
	Technology: \$800, Signage/Wayfinding: \$2,000, Individualized: \$4,385			
	Geyserville Visitors Center: \$7,400			
	Individualized: \$7,400			
	Mark West Visitors Center: \$6,000			
	Signage/Wayfinding: \$3,000, Individualized: \$3,000			
	Petaluma Visitors Center: \$10,000			
	Individualized: \$10,000			
	Redwood Coast Visitors Center*: \$5,000			
	Individualized: \$5,000			
	Russian River Visitors Center: \$10,000			
	Individualized: \$10,000			
	Santa Rosa Welcome Center: \$7,530			
	Technology: \$4,000, Signage/Wayfinding: \$1,400,			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
	Individualized: \$2,130			
	Sebastopol Visitors Center: \$8,000			
	Individualized: \$8,000			
	Sonoma Valley Visitors Center: \$3,200			
	Technology: \$1,2000, Signage/Wayfinding: \$2,000			
	Stewards of the Coast & Redwoods Armstrong Woods & Jenner Visitors Centers:			
	\$10,000			
	Individualized: \$10,000			
	Windsor Visitors Center: \$9,900			
	Technology: \$2,300, Signage/Wayfinding: \$3,000, Individualized: \$4,600			
	*Redwood Coast Visitors Center is contingent upon a matching grant from Visit			
	Mendocino and a business plan agreed upon by Sonoma County Tourism, Visit			
	Mendocino and the Redwood Coast Visitors Center by April 1, 2024.			

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
Strategic Plan 6- Month Update:	Total= \$98,215 Motion: K. Lange. S: T. Buttaro to approve Visitor Center Infrastructure funding to as accepted by the Community Engagement Committee Aye-12 Nays-0 Abstentions-0 It is noted that visitor centers must be following scope of services and that SCT is working with them to keep them compliant. This has been a challenge since the pandemic shut down. C. Vecchio provided an overview of the teams accomplishments against the strategic plan.			
What's New and Noteworthy?	Lots of rain in the forecast!			
Next Meeting	February 28, 2024 at 3pm at the SCT Board Room	RSVP in BoardEffect	Members	3 days prior in BE; or 1 week prior if virtual for posting requirements

Agenda Item/Issues	Information	Follow Up Action	Person Responsible	Date Due
Adjourn	Meeting Adjourned 4:51pm			